

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/711,640	09/29/2004	Basanth Jagannathan	FIS920040085	5639
45988 75	590 12/28/2005		EXAMINER	
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.			NGUYEN, TRAM HOANG	
1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE RESTON, VA 20191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1001011, 171	20171		2818	

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	m
Office Action Summary		10/711,640	JAGANNATHAN ET AL. Art Unit	
		Examiner		
		Tram H. Nguyen	2818	
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication app		correspondence addres	5S
A SHORTENED STA WHICHEVER IS LO - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS fro - If NO period for reply is sp - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	ATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY NGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 and the mailing date of this communication. Decified above, the maximum statutory period was set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Office later than three months after the mailing ment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this committee (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status				
2a) ☐ This action is 3) ☐ Since this app	FINAL. 2b) This lication is in condition for alloware ordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro-		erits is
Disposition of Claims				
4a) Of the abo 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s)	_ is/are rejected.	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers				
10) The drawing(s) Applicant may r Replacement de	on is objected to by the Examine on is objected to by the Examine of filed on is/are: a) according to the frawing sheet(s) including the correct oclaration is objected to by the Examine of the ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1	<u> </u>
Priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119			
a) All b) Solution So	ent is made of a claim for foreign ome * c) None of: d copies of the priority documents d copies of the priority documents of the certified copies of the priority tion from the International Bureau ed detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application of the second state of the s	ion No ed in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References C		4) Interview Summary	•	
· = ·	s Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-15)	2)

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121.
 - I. Claims 14-30, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257, subclass 659.
 - II. Claims 1-13, drawn to a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device, classified in class 438, subclass 81.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP, 806.05(f)). In the instant case, unpatentability of the Group I invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the Group II invention, because the device of Group I invention could be made by a process materially different from that of the Group II invention. For example, the process of claim 1 can be materially altered by forming a substrate contact in electrical contact with the source before forming a gate on the substrate between the source and drain. After that, forming an electrical contact to the source, drain, gate and the substrate.

In the case that Group I is elected, this group of claims has following patentably distinct species of the disclosed invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/711,640

Art Unit: 2818

Species A, Fig. 3

Species B, Fig. 4

Page 3

Species C, Fig. 6

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Application/Control Number: 10/711,640

Art Unit: 2818

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have

acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, the fields

of search are not co-extensive and separate examination would be require, restriction

for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must

include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be

traversed (37 FR 1.143).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Tram H. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-

5526. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00am - 6:00pm. If

attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax numbers for all

Customer Service is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1625.

Juland
David Vu
Primary Examiner
AU 2818

Page 4

Tram H. Nguyen Art Unit 2818

12/15/05